114681



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

ENERGY AND MINERALS
DIVISION

FEBRUARY 19, 1981

B-202194

The Honorable Bo Ginn
Chairman, Subcommittee on Military
Construction Appropriations
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives



Dear Mr. Chairman:

Subject: Status and Funding of the Department of Defense Energy Conservation Investment Program (EMD-81-55)

We are pleased to provide the enclosed data on the status of the projects and funds of the Department of Defense's (DOD) Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP).

As requested by your office, this data is being provided for use by the Subcommittee in the upcoming hearings on DOD's fiscal year 1982 program. We obtained this data as part of our follow-up review on what actions have been taken by DOD and the military services on the recommendations of the General Accounting Office (GAO) report "Improvements Needed in Department of Defense Energy Conservation Investment Program" EMD-78-15, January 18, 1978, and the Defense Audit Service (DAS) report on the Department of Defense's ECIP, No. 79-054, February 28, 1979. Our review is planned for completion in May 1981.

We are aware of the subcommittee's concern that funds provided for ECIP projects have been used on non-energy conservation projects. We have shared your concern and had recommended in another report that funds provided for energy conservation should be restricted to such use (EMD-80-11, December 12, 1979).

Our current review of the status of projects in the Army, Navy, and Air Force fiscal years 1979 and 1980 ECIP indicates that the military services are still using funds appropriated for ECIP on other military construction projects that do not conserve energy. We found that about

(003488)

to the

\$45 million or 34 percent of the \$131 million appropriated for the fiscal year 1979 ECIP and about \$34 million or 27 percent of the \$124 million appropriated for the fiscal year 1980 ECIP have been reallocated or may become available for reallocation to other military construction projects. This occurs because, according to the fund control officials we interviewed, the services are permitted to reallocate funds from originally programmed projects, including ECIP projects, to other military construction projects when the original projects are cancelled, deferred, reduced in scope, or completed.

Enclosure I is a summary of the status of the projects and funds included in the fiscal years 1979 and 1980 programs. Enclosures II and III are schedules showing the difference between the amounts appropriated and the current cost estimates for each project included in these years.

We trust that the enclosed data will satisfy your needs. If we can be of further assistance, please let us know.

Sincerely yours,

J. Dexter Peach

Director

Enclosures - 3

STATUS AND FUNDING OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

U.S. General Accounting Office February 1981

Contents

			Page
ENCLOSURE	I	STATUS AND FUNDING OF THE DEPARTMENT	
		OF DEFENSE ENERGY CONSERVATION	
		INVESTMENT PROGRAM	1
		1979 ECIP	1
		Army ECIP	1
		Cancelled projects	2
		Projects with reduced scopes	
		or overestimated costs	2
		Projects with underestimated	
		costs	3 3
		Navy ECIP	3
		Cancelled projects	3
		Projects with reduced scopes	
		or overestimated costs	4
		Projects with underestimated	
		costs	5
		Air Force ECIP	5 5
		Deferred projects	
		1980 ECIP	6
		Army ECIP	6
		Navy ECIP	7
		Air Force ECIP	8
ENCLOSURE	II	FISCAL YEAR 1979 ENERGY CONSERVATION	
		INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF	
		VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED	•
		AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES	9
ENCLOSURE	III		
		INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF	
		VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED	
		AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES	19

STATUS AND FUNDING OF

THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

1979 ECIP

Below is the status of the Army, Navy and Air Force ECIP projects for fiscal year 1979 which we compiled from the military services' records and reports and annual reports to OASD. The schedule shows that about 34 percent or \$44.5 million of the \$130.6 million appropriated could be or has been used for other military construction projects.

Funds Available from Fiscal Year 1979 ECIP Projects for Use on Other Military Construction Projects

		Pr	ojects	Total amounts			
	•	Reduced scope or over- estimated		Under- estimated		Appro-	Percent
Service	Cancelled	(note a)	Deferred	(note b)	Available	priated	available
			million-				
Army	\$ 7.5	\$10.5	\$ -	\$ -1. 8	\$16.2	\$ 52.7	31
Navy	3.8	5.3	· -	 6	8.5	42.9	20
Air Force		1.0	19.6	<u>8</u>	19.8	35.0	57
Totals	\$ <u>11.3</u>	\$ <u>16.8</u>	\$ <u>19.6</u>	\$- <u>3.2</u>	\$44.5	\$ <u>130.6</u>	34 ·

a/Reduced scope or overestimated is included in enclosure II column entitled current working estimate (CWE) less than appropriated.

A complete list of fiscal year 1979 ECIP projects for the Army, Navy and Air Force are included in enclosure II and the programs and projects are discussed below.

Army ECIP

The status of the 41 projects in the Army's fiscal year 1979 ECIP shows that about 31 percent or \$16.2 million of the \$52.7 million appropriated could be or has been used on other military construction projects.

15

b/Underestimated is included in enclosure II column entitled CWE more than appropriated.

Cancelled projects

The Army cancelled nine ECIP projects totalling \$7.5 million and reprogrammed \$4.4 million from two cancelled projects with the approval of the House and Senate Subcommittees on Military Construction Appropriations. The remaining \$3.1 million from these cancelled projects is available for use on other military construction projects. The projects were cancelled because they did not meet ECIP cost and energy savings criteria.

The available funds from the two Army projects were used on projects which did not conserve energy. One project was located at the Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois, and programmed for about \$2.0 million, and the other was located at Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana, and programmed for about \$2.4 million. These funds were reprogrammed to cover additional costs required to complete a tactical equipment shop, trainee barracks complex and chapel at Fort Sill, Oklahoma.

Other ECIP projects were cancelled and the records indicate that prior congressional approval was not obtained or needed. The projects were programmed for under \$500,000. The available funds were used on projects that did not conserve energy. For example:

- --An ECIP project at Fort Knox, Kentucky, programmed for \$401,000 was cancelled and the funds were used in November 1979 to reconstruct a road at Camp Zama, Japan.
- --An ECIP project at Fort Carson, Colorado, programmed for \$192,000 was cancelled. In April 1980 these funds were used to partially fund the upgrading of the arms room at the Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, to meet security standards.

Projects with reduced scopes or overestimated costs

The Army's annual report to OASD on the status of ECIP projects indicates that an additional \$10.5 million also may become available because 17 projects were overestimated by about \$6.0 million, and another 7 projects were reduced in scope by about \$4.5 million.

Overestimating the cost of ECIP projects may result in funds becoming available after projects are completed. These available funds may be used on other military construction projects which may not conserve energy. For example, an ECIP project at Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania, was completed costing \$500,000 less than the amount programmed. The Army obtained approval in February 1980

from the House and Senate Subcommittees on Military Construction Appropriations to reprogram this amount to complete a trainee barracks complex at Fort Benning, Georgia.

Reducing the scope of ECIP projects also may result in funds becoming available for use on other military construction projects which may not conserve energy. For example, an energy monitoring and control system programmed for Fort Belvoir, Virginia, for \$4.8 million was estimated in August 1980 to cost about \$1.5 million to construct. This has resulted in about \$3.3 million becoming potentially available for other projects. The project scope was reduced because the Army found that only 110 buildings of the 202 buildings initially programmed were the largest energy savers. The Army decided to include only the 110 buildings in the project.

Projects with underestimated costs

The Army's annual report to OASD also shows that additional funds may be needed to cover the costs for eight projects having current working estimates that are \$1.8 million more than the amounts programmed. Funds available from other ECIP projects may be used for these projects having underestimated programmed amounts.

Navy ECIP

The status of the 54 projects in the Navy's fiscal year 1979 ECIP shows that about 20 percent or \$8.5 million of the \$42.9 million appropriated has been or is available for use on other military construction projects.

Cancelled projects

The Navy cancelled three ECIP projects totalling \$3.8 million and reprogrammed \$3.5 million with approval of the House and Senate Subcommittees on Military Construction Appropriations. The documentation provided the subcommittees shows that these cancelled projects were as follows:

Location	<u>amount</u>
Fleet Training Center, San Diego, California	\$1,400,000
Naval Technical Training Center, Treasure Island, San Francisco, California	1,550,000
Fire Fighting School, Naval Station, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii	890,000

The projects were planned to replace pollution control filtering systems with a newly designed and more efficient system. However, revised estimates significantly increased the current working estimates beyond the programmed amounts. The increases were caused by technical difficulties. Since the existing pollution control systems worked satisfactorily the three projects were cancelled.

The funds from these cancelled projects were reprogrammed to projects that did not conserve energy. The \$1.4 million available from the San Diego project was reprogrammed as follows: \$1,151,000 was used to complete a physical education center at the Naval Academy; \$164,000 was used to complete fire protection improvements at the Naval Weapons Station, Earle, New Jersey. The remaining \$85,000 was reserved for future contingencies.

The \$1.6 million available from the San Francisco project was reprogrammed as follows: \$1.3 million was used to complete other military construction projects at the Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, Washington; and \$300,000 was not specifically assigned.

The \$890,000 available from the Pearl Harbor project was reprogrammed to cover part of the increase in construction costs of other projects at the Atlantic Fleet Ballistic Missile Refit Site, Kings Bay, Georgia.

Projects with reduced scopes or overestimated costs

An additional \$5.3 million is also potentially available from 16 projects that have been reduced in scope by about \$3.9 million and 17 projects that were overestimated by about \$1.4 million because the current working estimates were less than the programmed amounts.

Reducing the scope of ECIP projects may result in funds becoming available for use on other military construction projects which may not conserve energy. For example, an energy monitoring and control system at Mare Island Naval Shipyard, California, initially included all production shops, administration buildings, domestic and industrial waste pump stations and other buildings. However, the Navy subsequently determined that many of these buildings could not be beneficially included in the system because they are operational 24 hours a day. Therefore there would be almost no savings in many buildings because the utilities could not be reduced during any part of the 24 hour working day. The programmed amount is \$3.6 million and the current working estimate is \$1.2 million. Thus, about \$2.4 million is potentially available for other projects.

Overestimating the cost of ECIP projects may result in funds eventually becoming available for use on other military construction projects. For example, an energy monitoring and control system at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, was initially planned to cover 41 buildings. The programmed amount is \$1.1 million. Subsequently the system has been expanded to include 116 buildings. Paradoxically, the expanded system was estimated to cost about \$917,000, a saving of about \$183,000. The records show that the contract bid was significantly lower than initially estimated.

Projects with underestimated costs

Additional funds may be needed to cover the costs of 15 projects whose programmed amounts have been underestimated by about \$600,000. The current working estimates are higher than the programmed amounts and, therefore, these projects may need more funds. According to Navy records, the labor and material costs are higher than initially estimated.

Air Force ECIP

The status of the 36 projects in the Air Force's fiscal year 1979 ECIP shows that about 57 percent or \$19.8 million of the \$35 million programmed has been or could be used on other military construction projects. Most of these funds were available from deferred projects.

Deferred projects

Twelve projects programmed for \$19.6 million have been deferred until fiscal years 1981 and 1982. We were told by Air Force fund control officials that these 12 projects have been deferred and the funds used for other military construction projects because other projects had higher priorities than the ECIP projects. The records we reviewed had not been maintained in a manner which enabled us to trace the funds to these other military construction projects.

Following are the ECIP projects that have been deferred: energy monitoring and control systems programmed for a total of \$14.3 million and located at Edwards AFB, California; Grand Forks, AFB, North Dakota; Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts; Holloman AFB, New Mexico; Keesler AFB, Mississippi; Kelly AFB, Texas; Nellis AFB, Nevada and Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio. Other energy conservation projects totalling \$5.3 million have been deferred at the Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tennessee; Edwards AFB, California; Hill, AFB, Utah and Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio.

1980 ECIP

Below is the status of the Army, Navy and Air Force ECIP projects for fiscal year 1980 compiled from the military services' records and reports and annual reports to OASD. About 27 percent or \$33.8 million of the \$123.9 million programmed could be available for other military construction projects.

Funds Available from Fiscal Year 1980 ECIP Projects for Use on Other Military Construction Projects

		Pr	ojects	Tota	al amounts	<u> </u>	
		Reduced scope or over- estimated		Under- estimated		Appro-	Percent
Service	Cancelled	(note a)	Deferred	(note b)	Available	priated	<u>available</u>
			m	illion			
Army	\$2.0	\$ 2.5	\$ 4.4	\$ -4. 2	\$ 4.7	\$ 45.0	11
Navy	1.2	13.5	2.4	-1.3	15.7	46.8	34
Air Force	<u>.6</u>	1.4	12.6	- <u>1.2</u>	13.4	32.1	42
Totals	\$ <u>3.8</u>	\$ <u>17.4</u>	\$ <u>19.4</u>	\$- <u>6.7</u>	\$ <u>33.8</u>	\$123.9	27

a/Reduced scope or overestimated is included in enclosure III column entitled CWE less than appropriated.

b/Underestimated is included in enclosure III column entitled CWE more than appropriated.

A complete list of fiscal year 1980 ECIP projects for the Army, Navy and Air Force are included in enclosure III and the programs are discussed below.

Army ECIP

The status of the 39 projects in the fiscal 1980 ECIP shows that about 11 percent or \$4.7 million of the \$45.0 million programmed could be available for use on other military construction projects.

The total funds potentially available are \$8.9 million; however, this is reduced by \$4.2 million because funds may be needed for 12 projects that are currently underfunded since the programmed amounts were underestimated. The remaining \$4.7 million is available for use on other projects. These funds are derived from the following: three projects cancelled totalling \$2.0 million; 17 projects overestimated totalling \$2.5 million; and three projects deferred totalling \$4.4 million.

The three cancelled projects were: one project at Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana, programmed for \$1.7 million to install insulation and storm windows; another project at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant programmed for \$120,000 to install a boiler; and, another project at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, programmed for \$220,000 to install a chilled water storage system.

The three deferred projects are: two projects at Fort Benning, Georgia, one programmed for \$2.9 million to install a solar pond for hot water and another programmed for \$1.4 million to install boiler and heating controls; the other project was programmed for \$240,000 to install an energy monitoring and control system at the Red River Army Depot, Texas.

Navy ECIP

The status of the 44 projects in the Navy's fiscal year 1980 ECIP shows that about 34 percent or \$15.7 million of the \$46.8 million programmed could be available for use on other military construction projects.

The total funds potentially available are approximately \$17 million; however, this is reduced by \$1.3 million because funds may be needed for eight projects that are currently underfunded since the programmed amounts are underestimated. The remaining \$15.7 million is available for use on other projects. These funds are derived from the following: three projects cancelled totalling \$1.2 million; 32 projects overestimated or reduced in scope totalling \$13.5 million; and, one project deferred totalling \$2.4 million.

One cancelled project was an energy monitoring and control system at the Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, programmed for \$220,000. This project was cancelled because a revised economic analysis showed that the project would no longer meet the established criteria. The original analysis had an error in the assumed operating conditions.

Another cancelled project was for installing ceiling insulation at the Submarine Base, New London, Connecticut. The project was programmed for \$250,000. The project was cancelled because of unforeseen condensation problems which would require expensive roof ventilation alterations.

The third cancelled project was to insulate steam and condensation lines at the Naval Air Engineering Center, Lakehurst, New Jersey programmed for \$700,000. The project was cancelled because a subsequent evaluation by the Navy showed that the project would not meet the cost-benefit criteria.

An additional \$13.5 million is also potentially available because the programmed amounts for 32 projects have been overestimated or reduced in scope. This occurred because the current

working estimates are less than the programmed amounts. For example, a project to replace a test steam system at the Mare Island Naval Shipyard, California, is programmed for \$5.4 million and the current working estimate is \$3.3 million. The \$2.1 million difference is potentially available for use on other projects. The Navy attributes most of the variance between its programmed amount and the current working estimate to lower contract costs for material and excavation work.

The one deferred project has made about \$2.4 million available for use on other military construction projects. The ECIP project to insulate buildings at the Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina, has been delayed according to a Navy official because the project has to be redesigned. The contract award is planned for about May 1981. However, a Navy official told us that when projects are delayed the programmed funds become available for use on other military construction projects.

Air Force ECIP

The status of the 73 projects in the fiscal year 1980 ECIP shows that about 42 percent or \$13.4 million of the \$32.1 million programmed could be available for use on other military construction projects.

The total funds potentially available are \$14.6 million; however, this is reduced by \$1.2 million because funds may be needed for 12 projects that are currently underfunded since the programmed amounts were underestimated. The remaining \$13.4 million available for use on other projects is derived from the following: two projects cancelled totalling \$560,000; 20 projects overestimated totalling \$1.4 million, and 22 projects deferred totalling \$12.6 million.

ARHY

FISCAL TEAR 1979 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES IN JANUARY 1981

	•-					
				CUE	CES	
<u> </u>	<u>Project</u>	Appropriated Amount	Current Working Estimate	Less Than Approp.	More Then Approp.	Projects Cancelled
				(Thousands)	<u> </u>	
ALASKAI				,		
Ft Greely Ft Wainwright	Insulation, heating controls Insulation, storm windows	\$1,945 3,323	\$1,837 2,299	\$ 108 (1) 1,024	•	•
CALIFORNIA:	<u>.</u>					•
ft Ord ft Ord	Energy control system Veatherstrip building	295 132	400	44	105	
COLORADOI						
Ft Carson Fitzsimons Army Medical Center	Hodify steem distribution syste Energy control system	n 192 1,372	1,676		304	192
GEORGIA:						
lt Benning Et Gordan	Energy control system Energy control system	1,528 2,201	2,059	14 2		1,528
Ft Gordan	Hot water waste recovery system		1,159	141	113	
Ft Stevart - (Hunter Army Airfield)	Insulation and weatherstrip	374	153	221 (1)		
Pt Stevert	Insulation and weatherstrip	326	204	122		
	·					
ILLINOIS: Rock Island	Vindov alterations	1.957				1,957
Savanna Army Depot	Insulate and night set back	239	. 249		10	. ••••
INDIANA:						
Pt Benjamin Harrison	Replace windows, modify steam and air system	2,359				2,359
KANSAS :						•
It Leavenuorth It Leavenuorth	Storm windows, weatherstrip Energy control system	494 2,251	404 2,658	90	407	
KENTUCKY:				•		
7t Campbell	Insulation	380 1,960	2,053		93	380
Ft Knox Ft Knox	Energy control system Infrared gas spot heaters	401	1,033			401
LOUISIANA:						
Ft Folk	Energy control system	1,542	1,364	178		
MASSACHUSETTS:		744	44.	264 (1)		
ft Devens	Storm windows and doors, zone controls	799	445	354 (1)		
It Devens	Solar heat	268	. •			268
HISSOURI:						
ft Leonard Wood	Storm windows and insulation	5,845	4,396	1,449		
MEN JERSEY:						
Picatinny Areenal	Insulate steam distribution system	2,262	373	1,889		
Picatinny Aroenel	Convert heating system to solar	334				334
HORTH CAROLINA: Ft Bragg	Energy control system	994	880	114		
OKLAHOMA: Pt Sill	Insulate and night set back	2,968	2,735	233 (1)		
PENNSYLVANIA						
Carlisle Rew Cumberland	Replace underground steam system Insulate buildings	n 1,601 237	1,081 192	520 45		
Army Depot Tobyhanna Army Depot	Insulate, weatherstrip	1,227	1,190	. 37		
1						

FISCAL YEAR 1979 INTRGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES IN JANUARY 1981

			CES			
Location.	Project	Appropriated <u>Aroun</u> t	Current Vorking Estimate	CUL Loss Than Approp.	CLE More Than <u>Approp</u> .	Projects Cancelic
SOUTH CAROLINA:		\$	\$	\$	\$	ę
Pt Jackson Pt Jackson	Energy control system Convert to High Pressure sodium lights	3,300 234	3.073 147	227 (1) 87		
TEXAS:						
Corpus Christi	Convert Air condition from Steam		425		159	
Red Fiver Army Depot	Insulate Buildings	268	142	126 (1)		
Red River Army Depot	Humidity controls	187	166	21		
VIRGINIA:						
ft Belveir	-Energy control system	4,780	1,510	3,264 (1)		
Ft Eustis	Refuse fired steam plant	947	1,524		577	
Ft Lee	Energy control system	1.205	1,149	56		
It Pickett	Insulation, heat systems	24.8	239	•		
Radford Army Ammunition Pla	Install condensate return	295	206	••		
VASHINGTON:				•		
It Levis Totals	Laundry heat recovery	115 \$52,697	<u> 176.412</u>	310.445	- 51.764	115 57.53a

ARMY

FISCAL YEAR 1979 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETTLEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES IN JANUARY 1981

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCES BETWEEN

CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND

APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

\$52,697,000
-36,482,000
\$16,215,000
\$ 4,533,000
5,916,000
\$ 10,449,000
7,534,000
\$17,923,000
-1,768,000
\$15,215,600

MAVY

FISCAL YEAR 1979 EMERGY COMSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF NOVEMBER 1980

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project</u>	ppropriated <u>Aporat</u>	Current Work Istimate or Obli- gated Amount	Then Approp.	CVE Nore Than Approp.	Cancelled	Deferred \$ PY
Alesks				•	•		
MS Adak (P 821)	Energy Recovery System	\$ 1,435	\$ 1,466.3°	•	\$ 31.3	•	•
California							
MCB Camp Pendleton (P 771)	Energy Monitorin and Control Sy		1,940	60			
MWC China Lake (P278)	Energy Monitorin and Control Sy		1,020.6	129.4		,	
(P 279)	Boiler Plant Modifications	900	858.9	41.1			
MST Long Beach (P 192)	Energy Recovery Systems	420	412.4	7.6	•		
MSY Mare Island (P 219)	Energy Monitorin and Control Sy		1,223	2,377			
MPSC Honterey (P 128)	Heating, Ventila Air Conditioni Modifications		99.4	30.6			
WAS Worth Island (POS1)	Boiler Plant Modifications	310	306.8	3.2			•
PHTC Point Nugu	Baergy Monitoria and Control Sy		652.9		2.9		
FTC Sen Diego (P 186)	Building Alterations	1,400				1,400	
NTTC San Francisco	Building Alterations	1,550				1,550	
<u> Plorida</u>							
WAS Gecil Field (P 173)	Building Alterations	100	117		17		
(P 188)	Energy Monitoria and Control Systems	ig 730	698	. 32			
MAS Jackson- ville (P 577)	Energy Monitoria and Control System	ng 765	875		110		
NTC Orlands (P 150)	Baergy Monitoria and Control System	820	929		109		
MAS Ponsacola (P 476)	Insulation and Storm Vindova	150	93.0	57			
(P 494)	Energy Monitorin and Control System	ag 260	240.6	19.4			
(7 494)	Besting, Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Modifications	320	273.6		46.4		

FISCAL TEAR 1979 EXERCT CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT VOREING ESTIMATES AS OF HOVEMBER 1980

			OF MUTENA	,,,,	•			
. :		Appropriate		Voria CVE Less Then	CUE More That	•	Cancalled	Deferred \$ 77
<u>location</u>	<u>Project</u>	Anount	Savona besag			<u>193</u> . •}		
Georgia		\$						
NCLSBLANT Albany (P 091)	Insulation and Storm Windows	¥ 110	\$ 113.6	\$	\$	3.6	Ş	\$
(P 115)	Lighting Systems	130	97.7	32.3				
(P 120)	Neating Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Modifications	330 .	251.9	70.1				
PSCS Athens (P 073)	Heating Venti- lationend Air Conditioning Modifications	90	70	20				
Havali	•			•				
ME Pearl Marbor	Building Alteratio	ns 890			•		890	•
(P244)								
NPWC Pearl Herber (P 139) Illinois	Lighting Systems	290	122.1	167.9 _	•	,		
MPMC Great Lakes (P 334)	Boilor Flont Modifications	2,150	2,059	91			•	
(2373)	Building Alterations	80	78.8	1.2	•		•	
(P 360)	Beating, Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Modifications	230	164	66			·	
Indiene								
MWSC Crene (P 172)	Mesting, Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Medifications	100	107					•
(7157)	Energy Mobiloring and Control Sys		410		3	0		
NAT Indiana- polis (P100)	Resting Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Modifications	2,900	2,783.	117				
Maine								
MAS Brunswick (P 104)	Insulation and Storm Windows	440	622.5	17.5				
(7 105)	Boiler Flant Medifications	200	203			3		
MCU Cutler (P 015)	Building Alterations	200	102.3	97.7				
EST Portsmouth (P 176)	Meating, Venti- lation and Air Conditioning Medifications	530	610.3		•	80.3		
Maryland								
WA Aunopolia (P 197)	Insulation and Storm Vindovs	640	640					
pos Indian Bead (p 961)	Insulation and Storm Windows	410	365.4	44.6				
MATC Patument River (P 306)	Energy Monitoring and Control System	760	829.9		1	69.9		
			13					

PISCAL YEAR 1979 EMERCY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES EXTUZEM APPROPRIATED AHOUNTS AND CURRENT VORKING ESTIMATES AS OF HOVEMBER 1980

Locat <u>ion</u>	_	<u>Project</u>	Appropris <u>Approp</u> i		cvi ete Leos	CVE Note Than	Cancelled	Deferred \$ FY
	~		^		-			
Kev: / 07 009			\$	\$ [,]	\$ ·	\$	·\$	· \$
PAPTE Trentes (P 130)	Lighti	ng Systems	240	190	. 30			
(P 131)	lbliws Alter	eg rations	130	130				
North Corolina					•			
HCB CAMP Lugeune (P 701)		g Systems	730	448.2	281.8			
(P 705)		Monitorin Control	g 1,100	917	183			
MCAS Cherry Point (P 779)	Energy and C Syste	Moniterin Control	g 2,000	1,709.3	290.7			
<u>Pennsylvania</u>								
#SPCC Hechanicaburg (P 077)	Lightin	g Systeme	420	373.7	46.3			
(F 078)	Buildin Alter		700	74.5		45		
Rode leland			•					
NETC Newport (P 286)	Buildin Alter	ations	1,000	904	96			
South Carolina								
MST Charleston (P 066)	Lightin	g Systems	325	198.6	126.4			
HVS Charleston (P 061)		Monitoring ontrol Sy		166		91		
Tennessee			•					
WAS Memphis (P 231)		Monitoring entrol Sys			•			
<u>Virginia</u>								
PPVC Porfolk (P 193)		Monitering patrol ps	4,200	4048.6	151.4			
HS Worfelk (P 164)	Inculat:	ion and Windows	370	220.7	149.3			
MSC Morfolk (P 163)	Lighting	. Systems	1,040	734 - 6	305.4			
-#37 Novfolk (P 233)	Steam at	nd Con- Le Systems	280	284.6		4.6		
(P 243)	Steem as Condes System		1,150	1091.9	50.1		,	
Vesblagtes .								
EST Paget Sound (P 191)		Windows	140	160				
Potals			\$42.940	04.436.2	13.773.4	2-611.6	\$3,840	

YAYY

FISCAL YEAR 1979 EMERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF HOVEMBER 1980

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE BETWEEN CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

Amount Appropriated Current Working Istimate or Chligated Amount	\$42,940,000 -34,436,200
Total Funds Potentially Available For Other Projects	\$ 8,503,800
Potential Funds Available From Projects Where CVL's are Less Than the Appropriated Amount Projects Cancelled Gross Potential Funds Available	\$ 5,275,400 3,840,000 \$ 9,115,400
Potential Additional Funds Hecded to Complete Projects because CWE's are More Than Appropriated Amounts	- 611,600
Brt Potential Funds Available For Other Projects	\$ 8,503,800

AIR FORCE

FISCAL YEAR 1979 EMERCY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES STIVEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER, 1980

Location	<u>Project</u>	Approp- tistion	Gurrent Work Estimate or Amount Obligated	CME Less Than Approp.	C-E More Than <u>Deferred</u> Approp. ()
Arkenses				***************************************	
Little Rock AFB	Boiler Replacement	\$ 183	\$ 213	\$	\$ 30 \$
	Air Canditioning Modifications	160	237		77
California	•				
Edwards AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	2,750			2,750 62
	Thermestate and Insulation	650			650 E2
Norten A73	Insulation	506	622		116
	Mechanical System Modifications	143	211		68
Celorado					
U.S.A.F. Academy	Air Conditioning Replacement	635	435		
District of Columbia				-	
Bolling AFB	Insulation and Storm Sask	355	267	8.6	
Georgia			•		
Robine AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	2,100	1,976	. 184	•
Illineis					
Scott AFB	Storm Windows and Vestibule	860	873		13-
	Mechanical System Alterations	188	138	50	
Haryland			•		52
Andrews AFB	Storm Windows	335	387		34
	Mechanical System Alterations	112	. 14	16	
Massachusetts	•			•	2,000 81
Hanscom A73	Energy Monitoring and Control System	2,000		• •	
	Air Conditioning Modification	340	340		
<u> Miebigan</u>				••	•
E.I. Sauyer AFS	Heating Plant . Alterations	300	290	10	
Mississippi	•				
Columbus AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control Systems	1,506	1,584	•	_
Eccolor AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	820			620 '60

. . .

AIR FORCE

PIECAL YEAR 1979 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPIRATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER, 1980

Location	Project	Appropriated	Current Work Estimate or Amount Obligated	CWE Less Than Approp.	CUE More Then Approp. Deferred FY
Hevada				(11100111100)	
Wellis AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	\$ 900	•	•	\$ \$ 900 '81
New Jarsey					
McGuire AFB	Lighting System Alterations	206	217		11
New Mexico					
Holloman AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	540			540 '82
Kirtland AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	800	803		3
	Steam Line Modificatio	ns 650	950		300
North Dakota					
Grand Porks APB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	610		•	610 '81
	Storm Windows and Insulation	734	575	159	
Ohio					
Newark AFB	Heating and Air Conditioning Alterat	1,400 ions	929	471	
Wright-Patterson AF	B Nochanical, Electrical and Structural Alteration			-	1,120 81
	Energy Monitoring and Control System	3,350			, 3,350 ⁸ 1
Oklahoma					
Altus AFB	Energy Monitoring	608	587	21	
Tennessee		•			
AEDC	Propulsion Wind Tunnel Modifications	2,710			2,710 81
Texas					•
Kelly AFB	Energy Monitoring and Control System	3,300			3,300 '82
Reese AFS	Energy Monitoring and Control System	74.2	742		
Utah					,
Bill AFB	Lighting System Alterations	850			850 '82
<u>Virginia</u>	,	,			
Langley A73	Energy Monitoring and Control System	1,239	1,239		
Weshington					
Pairchild AFB	Energy Monitoring	450	650		
McChord A73	and Control System Central Heating System Alterations	\$ 594	\$ 743	•	\$ 149 B
Total		<u> 935,026</u>	125,244	1,001	8 - 819 <u>819,600</u>

AIR FORCE

FISCAL YEAR 1979 EHERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER, 1980

ARALYSIS OF VARIANCE BETWIEN CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND APPROPRIATED ANOUNTS

Amount Appropriated Current Work Estimate or Obligated Amount	\$35,026,000 -15,244,000
Total Funds Potentially Available	
For Other Projects	\$19,782,000
Potential Funds Available From	
Projects Where CWE's are Less	
Than Appropriated Amounts	\$ 1,001,000
Projects deferred	19,600,000
Gross Potential Funds Available	\$20,601,000
Potential Additional Punds Meeded to	
Complete Projects because CVE's	
are Nore Than Appropriated Amount	- 819,000
Wet Potential Punds	
Available for other Projects	\$19,782,000

ARMY

FISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES IN JANUARY 1981

		•			VARIANCES	
Location	Project	Appropriated Amount	Current Working Estimate	CNE Less Than Approp(Thousands)-	CWE More Than Appron.	Projects Cancelled() Or Beferred (2
ABIZOMA: Ft Huachuca	Install Showerheads, solar pane and temperature controls	1# \$ 570	8 112	. 458	i	š
COLORADO: Pueblo Army Depet	Extend steam lines	270	238	32		
GEORGIA: Pt Benzing Pt Benzing Pt Benzing	Solar pond for hot water Builer and Heating Controls Waste Reat Recovery	2,850 1,350 146	183	•	. 43	2,851 (2) 1,350 (2)
INDIAHA: FE Benjemia Marricon	Insulation, storm windows	1,650				1,650 (1)
iowa: lowa Army Ammo Plant lowa Army Ammo Plant	Insulation Install Boiler	1,350 120	2,052		502	120 (1)
ERMITUCET: Ft Campbell Ft Enon Ft Room	Chilled water storage Neat Recevety Incinerator Insulate Buillings Install solar window film	220 1,759 1,250 340	2,127 513 300	737 40	377	220 (1)
PC Room MARYLAND: Pt Meade Pt Ritchie	Install Peedwater Economisers Insulation, storm windows, lamp replacement	190	163	25		
MISSOURI: Pt Leenard Wood	Solid waste incinerator	2,200	3,156		956	•
PEW JERSET: Picationy Aremal Picationy	Energy Munitoring and control system Insulate, storm windows,	1,600	1,535	. 65		
Aressal Mrw Tork:	weatherstrip, controls	·	·	••.		
Pt Drus	Ins ulate and veetherstrip buildings Insulation, raplace vindove, replace boilers	330	576 375	114	45	
PERPSTLYAPIA: Carliela Barrock	. Bacrgy Monitoring and control system	240	235			
New Cumberland	Boorgy Monitoring and control eyeton	410	482		72	
Tobybanna Tobybanna	Elisiante Contral Summer steam Install Economisers to contral heating plant	360 230	374	166 230		

ARMY

PISCAL TEAR 1980 EMERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM
SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN
APPROPRIATIO ANOUNTS AND CURRENT VORKING ESTIMATES
IN JAHUARY 1981

			VARTAKCES				
Location	Project	Appropriated Amount	Current Working Estimate	C4f Less Than Approp(Thousands)	More Than <u>Approp</u> ,	Projects Cancelled(or <u>Deferred</u> (
TERAS:				***************************************			
Long Horn Army	Heat Recovery system	\$ 280	\$ 192	8 88	\$	\$	
Red River Army Depot	Inergy Monitoring and control system	240	*			240 (2)	
UTARI					•		
Dugway proving Grounds	Insulation, Comtrols, weathetstripping	520	602		62		
Tooele Army	Install Time Clocks, Controls	1,050	1,113	•	63		
VIRGINIA							
ft Selvoir	Insulate Suilding	720	718	2			
Pt Evetie	Inergy Monitoring and control system	2,850	2,638	212			
Tt Eustis	Rehabilitate steam system,	1,600	1,479	. ::1			
Pt Bustis	Insulation and window nodification	760	910	:	150		
7t Lee	Inergy Monitoring and control system	1,050	1,211		161		
WISCONSINI	•				•		
Sodger Army Amme Pient	Insulate Buildings, 'temperature controls	650	650				
GERMANT:							
Tarious	Upgrade Heating Plants with automated coal fired system	2,400	2,204	196			
Referalauters	Upgrade Meating Plant with automated coal fired system	1,350	1,350				
frankfurt	Automate and Inter connect coal fired beating system	5,300	7,195		1,695		
Augsburg	Combine Besting Plants and Distribution systems with Automated coal fired systems	4,800	4 ,830				
Beaberg	Automation of Coal Fired Boiler Plant	630 .	712		82		
Geoppingen	Automation of Goal Fired Boiler Plant	280	279	1			
Totals		\$44,970	140,232	52,536	- 14,226	\$6,430	

ARMY

FISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT DRIGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES PETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT VORKING ESTIMATES IN JANUARY 1981

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCES BETWEEN

CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND

APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

Amount Appropriated	\$44,970,000
Current Working Estimate (CVE)	-40,232,000
Tutal Funds Potentially Available	4 4.738,000
for Other Projects	
Potential Funds Available From Projects	
where CVE's are less Than	
Appropriated Amounts	\$ 2,536,000
Projects cancelled or Deferred	6,430,000
Gross Potential Funds Available	\$ 8,566,000
Potential Additional Funds Necded To	
Complete Projects Because CNE's	_
are More Than Appropriated Amounts	-4,228,000
Net Potential Funds Available	\$ 4,738,000
For Other Projects	

PISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

Locat i on	Projest	Appropriated	Current Work Estimates or Obligated Amount	Vario CUZ Less Then Approp.	once CWE Hore Than Approp.	<u>Cancelled</u>	Deferred \$ FY
				-SUKAZUOKT		\$	\$
California NRMC Camp Pendleton (P-415)	Building Alterations	\$ 2,050	\$ 1003.2	\$ 1046.8	\$	*	•
MAS Lemonte' (P-034)	Lighting Systems	. 200	256.2		56.2		
MST Mare Island (P-218)	Steem & Conden- sate Systems	800	774.4	25.6			
(7-207)	Building Alterations	3,050	1530.1	1519.9			·
(7-215)	Steam & Conden- sate Systems	5,420	3336	2084			i
(P-200)	Lighting Systems	1,250	1031.2	218.8			
HAS Hiramat (P-251)	Energy Monitoring & Control Systems	2,800	2201.4	598.6			
MCBC Port Hueneme (P-351)	Steam & Conden- sate Systems	1,200	489	311			
HCB Twentynise Palms (P-195)	Heating Ventilation & Air Conditioning	100	79.9	20.1			
Connecticut							
MSB New London (7-313)	Building Alterations -	100	78.2	21.8			
. (7-316)	Insulation & Stern Windows	250		.:		250	
Cube				••			
NS Guantamen Bay (P-330)	Lighting Systems	. 210	195.6	16.4			
Beveil		; ,	-				
MSY Pearl Harber (P-232)	Lighting Systems	420	.348.4	71.6			
Naine	•					.;	
HAS Brunsvick (7119)	Building Alterations	210	138	72			
Meriane Iulande						- '-	
MPMC Guam (7-129)	Boiler Flant Modifications	900	1016.3		116.3		
(P-123)	Energy Monitorin & Control System		2142.7		942.7		
Maryland		• •		•			
MATC Patument River (P-277)	Steam & Com- densate Systems :	3850	1660	2190			
Mississippi							
MAS Heridian , (P-239)	Lighting Systems	160	70.7	87.3			
(7-236)	Energy Control & Monitoring System	220	22			220	

PISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

•			Current . Vork	Variance CVZ CVZ		
<u>Location</u>	Project	Appropriated Appropria	Zetimates or Obligated Amount	Lese Hore Then Than Approp. Appro	. Cancelled	Deferred 8 FT
		-				•
Here Jorsey		\$	\$	\$ \$	\$	· \$
MAEC Lakehurst (P- 184)	Steam & Con- demoste Systems	700			700	
North Carolina						
MCB Camp Lejeune (P-704)	Steam & Conden- ante Systems	530	159.3	370.7		
(P-702)	Insulation & Storm Windows	1200	619.6	580.2		
<u>Pennsylvania</u>		•		•		
SPCC Mechanica- burg (P-083)	Insulation & Storm Vindovs	240	250.9	10	.9	
(7-087)	Euilding Alterations	2400	1306.1	1093.9		
NoCTC Philadelphia (P-001)	Building Alterations	170	148.1	21.9		
MEY Philodolphia (7-505)	Building Alterations	510	540.7	30.7		
MADC Werminster (P-139)	insulation & Storm Windows	130	171.8	41.8		
Puerto Rico			•			
MS Roosevelt Roads (P-718)	Solar	1300	1154.5	145.5		
South Carelina					•	
WWS Charleston (F-138)	Building Alterations	410	454.4	44.4		
(P-137) 4	Insulation Storm Windows	2350				1350 '81
NST Charleston (P-023) d	Steam & Con- ensate Systems	2250	2054.2	195.8		
Tennessee						
MAS Memphis (P-234)	Steam & Conden- eate Systems	1400	993.6	406.4		
Tenes				^ -		
BAS Corpus Christi (P-246)	Hesting Ventilation & Air Conditioning System	230	175	33		

HATT

FISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

Location	<u>Projec</u> t	Appropriated Apount	Current Work Estimates or Obligated Amount	Verience CHE CHE Less Hore Then Then Approp. Approp. THOUSANDS	Cancelled	Deferred \$ FY
Virginia		Ś	S	s s	Ś	\$
FUTCLANT Dem Neck (P-679)	Lighting Systems	150	93.7	54.3		
(?-08))	Energy Monitoria & Control Systems		701	19		
MAB Little Creek (P-247)	Lighting Systems	120	68.4	31.6		
(7-239)	Insulation & Storm Vindova	570	388.6	181.4		
(P-240)	Steam & Conden- tate Systems	1100	1137.9	37.9		
NASF Norfolk (P-291)	Insulation & Storm Windows	120	100.7	19.3		
HAS Morfolk (P-le?)	Insulation & Storm Windows	370	173.9	196.1		
CY Norfolk	Energy Monitoring 6 Control Systems	2500	1611.6	888.4		
NG Tooktown (2-375)	Lighting Systems	220	191.3	79.7		
(P-370)	Building Alterations	1600	1329.5	270.5		•
Washington				414 9		
P=155)	Building Alterations	1100	453.3		-	
	•	146.780	131.049.4	\$13,491.3 -\$1,280.9	\$4,170	52,350

ENCLOSURE III

HAVT

FISCAL TEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE BETWEEN

CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND

APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

Amount Appropriated Cutrent Working Estimate or Obligated Amount	\$ 46,780,000 -31,049,500
Total Funds Potentially Available For Other Projects	\$ <u>15,730,400</u>
Potential Funds Available From Projects Where CMEs are less than the Appropriated Amount Projects cancelled Projects deferred Gross Potential Funds Available	\$ 13,491,300 1,170,000 2,350,000 \$ 17,011,300
Potential Additional Funds Needed to Complete Projects Where CVEs are more than Appropriated Amounts	\$ -1,280,500
Net Potential Funds Available For	15,730,400

FISCAL YEAR 1980 EFFRCY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTINATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

<u>tocation</u>	Project	Appropriation	Current . Work Estimates or Obligated Amount	Var CVE Less Than <u>Appro</u>		<u>Cancelled</u>	Deferred 3 FY
Arizona	:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Davis Monthan AFB	Energy Monitoring & Control System	1,800	1,740	60	•	•	•
California							
George AFB	Insulation; Heat- ing, Ventilation & Air Conditioning Modifications	1,050 (229) <u>1</u> /					10 <i>5</i> 0 (229) ¹ /-82
	Energy Monitoring & Control System	(800)					(800) *81
Karch AFB	High Efficiency Soilers	330 (199) (134)	161.8 .	168.	2		
Hather AFB	Energy Control & Monitoring System	1,650					1,650 '81
McClellan AFB	Building Modif- ications	210	210				
District of Columbia							
Bolling AFB	Heating Lines Modifications	200	141.7	50.	3		
Florida							
Burlburt Field (Eglim AFB)	Insulation, Storm Vindova, Lights	250	. 166.8	63.3	2		
Typdali AFB	Building Nodifications	310	138.7	171.	3		
Georgia		•					
Moody AFS	Building Modif-	1,150	1194		44		
	ications, Insulation	(183)	(334)				
•	Hangar Hodif- ications	(184)	(334)				
	Energy Monitoring & Control System	(800)	(800)				
Robins AFB		1,450	1309	141			
	Four Buildings	(254)	(194)				
	Nime Buildings	(593)	(566)				
	Treaty-one Build- ings	(602)	(549)				
Make							
Hountain Nous AFS	Heating, Vent- ilation, Air Conditioning, Solar Shading	290	313		23		

^{1/}At those banks with more than one ECIP project, each project assumt is given in (), and is included in the base total, listed first.

PISCAL TEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES RETUREN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

<u>Location</u>	Project	Appropriated <u>Appropria</u>	Current Work Estimates or Obligated Amount	Varia CVE Losa Than Approp. TROUSANDS-	CVE Hore Than Approp.	Cancalled	Deferred \$ FY
			•				•
Illinois	Ś		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Scott AFS		3900	3773.1	126.9			
	Insulation, Storm Vindows, Ventilation for 2 Buildings	(273)					
	Insulation, Storm Windows, Sun Screens 3 Buildings	(460)		,			
	Readquarters Thermal pane, Air Conditions Modifications			•			
	Central Air Condi- tioning; Insulation- 5 Buildings	(1902)					
Louisiene							
England AFB	Insulation; Air Conditioning and Temperature Control Modifications for 4 Buildings	170	130	40			•
Maryland							
Andrews AFS	Insulation; Window Reduction, EMCS Connection; 5 Buildings	1190					1190 '82
Michigan							
E.I. Sovjet ATE	Insulation; Temperature Control Modification	110	164		54	•	
Westemith APS		410	241	19			
	Insulation; Storm Windows, Meating Medifications-2 Buildings	(150)	•			150	
	Insulation; Temper- ature Control	(258)					
Mississippl		840					840 '8:
Recaler AFB							
	Insulation: Lighting Reduction, EMCS Connections for 4 Buildings	(218)					
	Insulation, Econ- omizers for 3 Buildings	(256)			,		
	Insulation, Stern Vindous for 10 Dornitories	(366)					
Mont one			315.4	14.6			
Helstree AFS		330	##FT				
	Insulation, Temper- acure Controls	(101)			*		
	Change Power	(231)	27				

FISCAL TEAR 1960 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VABIANCES BETWEEN APPROPRIATED ANDUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

Location	A ₁ Project	ppropriated A <u>nount</u>	Current , Work Estimates er Obligated Amount	Varias CVZ Less Then Approp. TMOUSANDS-	CVZ CVZ Hore Than Approp.	<u>Cancelled</u>	Deferred 3 FY
Hebrask <u>s</u>	Ş		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Offuct ATS	*	140	126.1	13.9	•	•	•
<u> Frvada</u>							
Hellis AFB		410	344.1	65.9			
	Building Modifications	(188)					
	Hanger Modif- ications	(224)					
Hew Mexico							
Kirtland AFB	Insulation	670					67C '82
Hew York							
Criffies ATS		930					930
•	Reof Insulation	(180)					(10p) '81
	Building Insulation, Fans	(750)					(750) '52
Plattsburgh AFB	Insulation, Storm Windows	130	160.1	•	30.1		
North Carelina							
Seymour Johnson AFB	Building Modification	250	300		50		
North Dakota				•			
Minot AF3	Insulation	250	454		204		
Ohio							•
Hewark AFS	Extension of Energy Honitoring & Control System	860					860 '8:
Oklahoma	•		•				
Altus AFS	Insulation, Storm Windows, Temperature Controla, Beilers	310	380.3		70.3		
Tinker AFS		3500	-				3500 81/82
	System Controls	(116)					
	Thermostate, Set- back Controls	(160)					•
	Insulation, Reduction of Glass	(212)		·			
•	Systems Alterations DECS Connection	(274)					
	Insulation; Setback Controls	(510)					-
÷	INCS Connection, Insulation, Medifications	(570)					
	Insulation, Noch- enical System Medifications	(1370)	,	,		•	

ENCLOSURE III

FISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VARIANCES BETHBEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMPER 1980

Location	Project A	pproprietion	 Current Mork Estimates or Obligated Amount		Veria CWZ Less Then Approp. THOUSANDS-	nce CWE Hore Them Approp.	<u>Cancelled</u>	Deferred \$ FY
South Carolina	\$	•	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$
Myrtle Beach AFB	Insulation, Storm Windows	310	184.2		125.8			
Show AFB	Insulation, Solar Screens, Storm Windows, Modif- ications	170	157.4		12.6			
Texas								
Bergstrom AFS	Insulation, Modifications to Heating, Cooling, Lighting	440	560			120		
Brooks AFB	Heating Flant Hodifications	410					410	
Carpuell AFS	·	260	296			36		
	Lower Ceilings in 2 Buildings	(130)				•		
	Hangar Modifications	(134)						
Kelly AFB		650	662.3			12.5		
	Building Modifi- cations-5 Buildings	(179)					:	
	Heating System Modifications for 3 Buildings	(214)						
	Insulation, Heating System Modifications 6 Buildings	(255)						
Lockland AFB	•	1000					*	1000 '82
	Solar Screens; Water Heater, DMCS Con- nection	(199)						
	Neating System Mod- ification, Solar Screens, Lighting Reduction	(276)		•				
	Heat Recovery Systems	(521)						
Randelph AFB	Insulation, Building Had- ification	130	146.7			16.7		
Shappard AFS		1400	1945.4			545.4		
	Inculation, Glass Painted	(214)						
	Chiller Plant Mod- ifications	(220)						
	Insulation, Solar Screens, Beating System Medifications	(334)						
	Sterm Windows, Reat- ing System Modif- ications-Mospital	(653)						

AIR TORCE

FISCAL YEAR 1980 EMERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF TABLANCES BETHEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

Location	<u>Projec</u> t	Appropriated Actual	Cutrent Vork Estimates or Obligated Amount	Variance CUE CUE Less Hore Thon Then Approp. Approp	<u>Cancelled</u>	Defetied \$ FY
Dtah	•	\$	\$	\$ \$	\$	\$
Bill AFB		3250	2944.5	305.5	·	
	Insulation; New . Controls	(182)				
	Insulation, Sus- pended Ceiling & Roof Support	(204.6)				
	Insulation, Control Modifications	s (258.2)				
	New Mechanical System Controls, Insulation, Storm	to e		•	•	
	Vindous	(2604)				
Washington .						
Pairchild AFB	Insulation	150	150	•		
McChord AFB	Insulation, Window Reduction, Heating System Modification	900				900 81
Totals		\$32,160	\$18,309.9	\$1406.7 -\$1205	\$ <u>54.3</u>	612, 590

ENCLOSURE III

AIR FORCE

PISCAL YEAR 1980 ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SCHEDULE OF VABIANCES BETHEEN APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

AND CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AS OF DECEMBER 1980

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE BETWEEN

CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATES AND

APPROPRIATED AYOUNTS

Amount Appropriated Current Working Estimate or Obligated Amount	\$32,160,000 -18,809,800
Total Funds Potentially Available for other Projects	13,350,200
Potential Funds Available From Projects Where CVEs	
are Less Than the Appropriated Amount	\$ 1,406,200
Projects Cancelled	560,000
Projects Deferred	12,590,000
Grose Potential Tunds Available	\$ 14,556,200
Potential Additional Funds Needed to Complete	
- Projects Where Chies are	
More Than Appropriated Amounts	\$-1,206,000
New Potential Funds Available For other Projects	\$13,350,200